

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/002,514	10/25/2001	Karsten Stein	101215-72	4261
27387	7590 04/15/2004		EXAMINER	
BRUCE LONDA			SNAY, JEFFREY R	
	LAUGHLIN & MARCI ND STREET, 30TH FLO		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
NEW YORK, NY 10017			1743	

DATE MAILED: 04/15/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Advisory Action	10/002,514	STEIN ET AL.
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit
	Jeffrey R. Snay	1743
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address
THE REPLY FILED 03162004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS A Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avinal rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this applica a timely filed amendment which (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely	ation. A proper reply to a n places the application in
	PLY [check either a) or b)]	
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The ee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of 12) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office imely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 Ci	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing. FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE date on which the petition under 37 CF of extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply the later than three months after the mail	g date of the final rejection. HE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP R 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension unt of the fee. The appropriate extension originally set in the final Office action; or
 A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF 		
2.⊠ The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:	
(a) X they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (s	see NOTE below);
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	elow);	
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or simplifying the
(d) They present additional claims without canceli	ng a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claims.
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.		
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	ion(s):	100 miles
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed amendment
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: See		dered but does NOT place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY t	o issues which were newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we	• • •	
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		
Claim(s) allowed: none.		;
Claim(s) objected to: none.		
Claim(s) rejected: 3-27.		
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: none.		graduation of the
8.☐ The drawing correction filed on is a)☐ app	oved or b) disapproved by t	he Examiner.
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemer	nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	
0. Other:		

Jeffrey R. Snay Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1743

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303)

Continuation of 2. NOTE: the newly recited provision of an impulse layer, splitting the emitting beam, and conducting the beam to coloring material lasers are all new issues, neither earlier presented nor considered.

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the request depends upon the proposed amendments to the claims, which have been denied entry.